



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	
Manuscript Number:	2013_BMRJ_5806
Title of the Manuscript:	Virulence Markers and Antifungal Susceptibility Profile of <i>Candida glabrata</i>: An Emerging Pathogen.
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. In the abstract, the authors should remove: ((Himedia Laboratories Pvt. Ltd Mumbai, India). This should be in the M&M section. 2. In the conclusion/abstract section, it was mentioned that <i>C. glabrata</i> is innately resistant to antifungal. How this fungus is controlled during human infection? 3. The manuscript needs careful reading/editing to improve it 4. Fluconazole was used up to 256 mg/ml. Why such high concentration? 5. In the Results and discussion section, there is redundancy with the Introduction section. Specifically, the following paragraphs should be discarded or at least significantly shorten: lines 154 to 161 and lines 178 to 192; 6. Figure 1: What are the numbers on the top of each histogram? If percentages, the sign (%) should be added, 7. What is the purpose of highlighting the title of some of your references?	1. As per the suggestion((Himedia Laboratories Pvt. Ltd Mumbai, India).has been removed from abstract. 2. <i>C. glabrata</i> is innately resistant to many antifungal drugs. Therefore for effective treatment and management of these infections rapid identification of infecting species along with its antifungal susceptibility profile is very important. <i>C. glabrata</i> infection can be managed by amphotericin B. 3. The grammatical and typographical errors in the manuscript are corrected. 4. The potency of antifungal disc was wrongly type it is µg and not mg /ml 5. As per the suggestions correction are done. 6. The numbers on the top of each histogram are the number of isolates obtained from that particular clinical specimen. 7. There was some error, there was no purpose of highlighting the title of some references



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		